

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
for the  
District of Minnesota

United States of America	)	
v.	)	
Margurite Mary Cofell	)	Case No: 19-CR-47-1 (JMB)
	)	USM No: _____
Date of Original Judgment: 12/03/2019	)	
Date of Previous Amended Judgment: _____	)	Daniel P. Huddleston
<i>(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)</i>	)	<i>Defendant's Attorney</i>

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION  
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:

☒ **DENIED.** ☐ **GRANTED** and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment *(as reflected in the last judgment issued)* of \_\_\_\_\_ months **is reduced to** \_\_\_\_\_ .  
*(See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)*

The Defendant is ineligible for a sentence reduction because while the amendment did lower the defendant's applicable guideline range, the defendant received a sentence that was less than the minimum of the new guideline range. Pursuant to USSG §1B1.10(b)(2)(A), except as provided in USSG §1B1.10(b)(2)(B), the court shall not reduce the defendant's term of imprisonment under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) and USSG §1B1.10 to a term that is less than the minimum of the amended guideline range.

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 12/03/2019 shall remain in effect.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Order Date: 06/21/2024

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
*(if different from order date)*

  
Judge's signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Printed name and title

**This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal.**  
**(Not for Public Disclosure)**

Margurite Mary Cofell

DEFENDANT: \_\_\_\_\_

CASE NUMBER: 19-CR-47-1 (JMB)

DISTRICT: District of Minnesota

**I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (*Prior to Any Departures*)**

Previous Total Offense Level: \_\_\_\_\_ Amended Total Offense Level: \_\_\_\_\_

Criminal History Category: \_\_\_\_\_ Criminal History Category: \_\_\_\_\_

Previous Guideline Range: \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ months Amended Guideline Range: \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ months

**II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO THE AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE**

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.

**III. FACTORS CONSIDERED UNDER USSG § 1B1.10 AND 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) (*See Chavez-Meza v. United States, 138 S.Ct. 1959 (2018)*)**

Print

Save As...

Add Attachment

Reset